

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

substantial evidence of so improbable an occurrence as the breeding of the passenger pigeon in arid southwestern Arizona before they will be willing to accept these observations as a part of the history of a now practically extinct species. If specimens of the birds in question had been obtained and identified by competent authority, it would doubtless have saved burdening the literature of the wild pigeon with another questionable record, and one that may prove extremely difficult to eliminate.

J. A. Allen

ON THE TRANSFERENCE OF NAMES IN ZOOLOGY

As the preparation of an official list of nomina conservanda is now under consideration by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature it may not be out of place to call attention to a point that seems to me of prime importance in this connection, although it has received little notice from recent writers on nomenclatorial reform.

It is simply this—while the rejection and replacement of familiar names for well-known animals is, of course, an inconvenience to zoologists, it is a trivial matter in comparison with the grave possibility of confusion that arises when the names are used in an altered sense. In the former case we merely multiply synonyms, and, unfortunately, they are so numerous already that a few more hardly matter; in the latter case there is a real and serious danger of ambiguity. Thus, at present, a writer who mentions Trichechus may be referring either to the Walrus or the Manatee, Simia may mean either the Orang or the Chimpanzee, Cynocephalus may be either a "flying Lemur" or a Baboon, and so on through all the great groups of the animal kingdom till we come to Holothuria which may refer either to a sea-cucumber or to a Portuguese man-of-war. Cases like these seem to me to be on an entirely different plane, as regards practical importance, from those in which an old name is simply rejected; even if the shore-crab is to be called Carcinides for the future we have only the additional burden of remembering that it was once called Carcinus.

A striking (if somewhat exceptional) instance of the pitfalls that are in preparation for future students is found in the section on Crustacea in Bronn's Thierreich (Bd. V., Abth. ii.). On p. 1056 there is an allusion to "Astacus" and on the following page to "Astacus" and on the following page to unless the part-wrappers have been kept in place) there is nothing to show that a change of authorship intervened between these two pages and that, while the second "Astacus" refers to the lobster, the first indicates the crayfish.

If the International Commission could be persuaded to consider first those names that are threatened with transference, before proceeding to deal with those that are merely in danger of replacement, they would, I believe, secure the support and cooperation of many zoologists who have doubts as to the practicability of the schemes lately put forward.

W. T. CALMAN
BRITISH MUSEUM (NATURAL HISTORY),
CROMWELL ROAD,
LONDON S. W.,
January 23, 1911

SCIENTIFIC BOOKS

African Mimetic Butterflies. By H. Eltring-HAM. Oxford, Clarendon Press. 1910.

The remarkable resemblances often observed between insects of different genera, families or even orders, have long attracted the attention of naturalists. In some, probably many, cases the explanation may be found in parallel variation, or similar conditions of life. Such explanations do not go far into the heart of the matter, but they are satisfying to those who like to give a "reason" for everything. Bates, who was so familiar with the insectfauna of the Amazons, hit upon a more special "reason" for resemblances observed by him. This was, in short, that certain species which were edible simulated others which were distasteful and so gained protection. subject was taken up by Wallace and other naturalists, and soon a large body of evidence was available, especially in relation to butterflies. It was proved to be a fact that certain